

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/688,137

Applicant(s)

JOOS ET AL.

Examiner

Leon Y. Lum

Art Unit

1641

All Participants:(1) Leon Y. Lum.(2) Marina Gordey.**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 July 2005**Time:** 12:40pm EST**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Applicant's representative stated that a response to the Office Action mailed 24 January 2005 has not be sent since a three month extension was paid to maintain continuity for filing a continuation and that the instant case can therefore be abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)